Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,320	MOORE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley	1651	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allow</u>		
(1) <u>Susan Hanley</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Richard Parr</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>15 March 2006</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1-7			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Mr. Parr agreed to an Ex. amendment to make minor changes to			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
·			
AA On			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)